

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,259	KOCH ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Mark S. Bicks.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Quaas et al and Koch et al, of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend claim 12 to patently distinguish over the art of record. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)